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## Semester reporting: Spring 2021

Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle
Academic Program Assessment by Concentration Report Information

| Prepared on: 9/20/2021 | Prepared by: Stanley Ketchel |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Email address of person responsible for this <br> report: Stanley.ketchel@mga.edu |
| In which school is this program located? | Business |
| Program Type: | Undergraduate |
| Approximately how many students are in this | BIGM (Management) 90 |
| program at this location? Break out by | BIMK (Marketing Concentration) 26 |
| concentrations. | BIGN (General Business) 93 |

## Student Learning Outcomes

## SLO1

| 7. SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to......) | Analyze and discuss situations involving a global environment and diversity issues. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8. SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment) | Embedded questions in International Economics Question on the money market and foreign exchange market. Question on exchange rates. |
| 9. SLO 1: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. $80 \%$ of all students will earn an average grade of $75 \%$ or better on....) | Average grade of 70 or better on each question |
| 10. SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment met the target performance level and demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome. Report data differentiated by program concentrations. (Example: BA Contemporary Musicianship - 84\%, BA Contemporary Musicianship Classical - 77\%, BA Contemporary Musicianship Commercial-63\%) | Management 1 student, 2 questions. Student met target performance $-90 \%$ of the total answers were answered correctly. <br> Marketing - No students to report on. <br> General Business - 5 students, 2 questions each. 4 of the 5 students met target performance $80 \% .90 \%$ of the total answers were answered correctly. |
| 11. SLO 1: Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome? | Management Continue to review the posed questions for International Economics to insure relevance. <br> Marketing - N/A <br> General Business - Continue to review the posed questions for International Economics to insure relevance. |

## Student Learning Outcomes

SLO2

| 12. SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning <br> Outcome for this academic program? Student <br> Learning Outcomes should be stated in <br> measurable terms (i.e. students will be able <br> to.....) | Solve complex problems using quantitative and <br> qualitative data. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13. SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) <br> was used to measure student's ability to <br> demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? <br> (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, <br> demonstration of ability, lab assignment) | Embedded questions in Business Statistics <br> Question on regression analysis. Question on <br> hypothesis testing. |
| 14. SLO 2: What target performance level would <br> a student need to achieve on the assessment <br> instrument to demonstrate mastery of this <br> learning outcome? (i.e. 80\% of all students will <br> earn an average grade of 75\% or better on.....). |  |
| 15. SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what <br> percent of the students who participated in this <br> assessment met the target performance level and <br> demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome. | Management - No students to report on. <br> Repor better on each question <br> Report data differentiated by program |
| Gencensiness - No Students to report on. |  |
| concentrations. (Example: BA Contemporary |  |
| Musicianship - 84\%, BA Contemporary |  |
| Musicianship Classical - 77\%, BA Contemporary |  |
| Musicianship Commercial - 63\%) |  |
| 16. SLO 2: Evidence of changes based on an <br> analysis of the results: What changes were <br> implemented based on an analysis of the <br> students' performance on this Student Learning <br> Outcome? | Management -NA <br> Marketing - N/A <br> General Business - N/A |

## Student Learning Outcomes

## SLO3

| 17. SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning <br> Outcome for this academic program? Student <br> Learning Outcomes should be stated in <br> measurable terms (i.e. students will be able <br> to.....) | Analyze cases containing ethical issues, identify <br> possible courses of action, and discuss the <br> potential outcomes of those actions. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18. SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) <br> was used to measure student's ability to <br> demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? <br> (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, <br> demonstration of ability, lab assignment) | Embedded questions in Principles of <br> Management. Question on business ethics. <br> Question on strategy and considerations on <br> stakeholders. Question on social responsibility. |
| 19. SLO 3: What target performance level would <br> a student need to achieve on the assessment <br> instrument to demonstrate mastery of this | Average grade of 70 or better on each question. |
| learning outcome? (i.e. 80\% of all students will |  |
| earn an average grade of 75\% or better on.....). |  |$\quad$| 20. SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what <br> percent of the students who participated in this <br> assessment met the target performance level and <br> demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome. <br> Report data differentiated by program <br> concentrations. (Example: BA Contemporary <br> Musicianship - 84\%, BA Contemporary |
| :--- |
| Marketing - No students to report on. <br> Gusicianship Classical - 77\%, BA Contemporary |
| Musicianship Commercial - 63\%) |
| 21. SLO 3: Evidence of changes based on an <br> analysis of the results: What changes were <br> implemented based on an analysis of the report on. <br> students' performance on this Student Learning <br> Outcome? |

## Student Learning Outcomes

SLO4

| 22. SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to......) | Understand and apply core concepts in business effectively. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23. SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment) | Score on ETS Major Field Test |
| 24. SLO 4: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. $80 \%$ of all students will earn an average grade of $75 \%$ or better on..... | Score on ETS Major Field Test is above median national score |
| 25. SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment met the target performance level and demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome. Report data differentiated by program concentrations. (Example: BA Contemporary Musicianship-84\%, BA Contemporary Musicianship Classical - 77\%, BA Contemporary Musicianship Commercial - 63\%) | Management: 25\% (13/52) <br> Marketing: 55\% (6/11) <br> General Business: 28\% (18/65) |
| 26. SLO 4: Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome? | Topics where students performed significantly under national average (seven of them in management, eight in marketing, eight in quantitative analysis and two in legal environment) were shared with the faculty. Further, the Accounting and Finance Department has assessed and shared their shortcoming areas. For the marketing and management statistics below, green indicates areas where performance exceeded the national average by more than $3 \%$. Yellow indicates areas where performance fell short of the national average by more than $3 \%$. Non-highlighted areas are within $=/-3 \%$ of the national average. Red areas are where performance fell short of the national average of more than $15 \%$, or one converted standard deviation from the total ETS score measure (see chart below). |

## Sampling

| 27. How many students participated in the <br> assessment of these learning outcomes, in this <br> program, for this assessment cycle at this <br> location? (Provide Number and by Concentration) | This was a test year for determining how to <br> assess by concentration under a more broadly <br> implemented School of Business Assessment. <br> During this process, it became clear that the <br> assessment plan set up in Jan 2019 copied the <br> assessment for the BSBA rather than create <br> specific learning outcomes and measures specific <br> to students in the concentrations. The <br> assessment plan will be revised by the faculty and <br> School of Business to target the specific learning <br> outcomes for specific concentrations as well as <br> overall BSBA learning outcomes. These <br> concentration-specific learning outcomes will <br> assessed in the concentration courses in which <br> students are enrolled. A new assessment plan for <br> the concentration will be forwarded to Academic <br> Affairs. |
| :--- | :--- |

## Open Box for Assessment Comments

| 28. Overall Use of Assessment Results for <br> Continuous Improvement and Open Text Box For <br> Assessment Comments: | During AY 20-21, the Dean of the School of <br> Business passed away in March. The School of <br> Business was in its first year implementing <br> redesigned assessments developed under his <br> leadership in AY 19-20. Between March 2021 and <br> July 2021 the School of business transitioned <br> through a Dean, a temporary Dean and an <br> Interim Dean. These leadership changes were <br> disruptive to organizational continuity, including <br> implementation and documentation of the newly <br> launched assessment process. At the time of this <br> report, a search for a new permanent Dean had <br> yet to begin. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 29. If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this <br> assessment cycle, please provide specific details <br> below. |  |

## Management

| Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correct | Correct | Omit | Not |  | Content |  |
| Institution | National ${ }^{(b)}$ |  | Reached | Domain | Are | SubContent Area |
| 71.5 | 83.2 | 0 | 0 | Managem ent | Strategy and Policy | Strategic analysis |
| 42.4 | 48.7 | 0 | 0 | Managem ent | Managem ent <br> Principles | History and theory |
| $74.3$ | 79.3 | 0.7 | 0 | Managem ent | Internatio nal and Cross Cultural Managem ent | -_ |
| 75 | 75.9 | 0 | 0 | Managem ent | Strategy and Policy | Policy determination |
| 56.2 | 64.6 | 0 | 0 | Managem ent | Organizati onal Behavior | Managing diversity |
| 67.4 | 63.8 | 0 | 0 | Managem ent | Organizati onal Behavior | Leadership and motivation |
| 55.6 | 57.1 | 0 | 0 | Managem ent | Managem ent <br> Principles | Group/team dynamics |
| 40.1 | 49.6 | 0 | 1.4 | Managem ent | Managem ent <br> Principles | Functions |
| 78.6 | 80.3 | 0 | 2.8 | Managem ent | Organizati onal Behavior | Communication |
| 33.6 | 32.6 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Internatio nal and Cross Cultural Managem ent | -- |
| 65 | 65.4 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Organizati onal Behavior | Leadership and motivation |
| 29.4 | 36.2 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Managem ent <br> Principles | Group/team dynamics |
| 76.2 | 70.5 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Strategy and Policy | Policy determination |
| 55.2 | 55.5 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Entrepren eurship | -- |
| 86.7 | 76 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Operation s Managem ent | Total quality management |
| 74.1 | 77.3 | 0 | 0.7 | Managem ent | Organizati onal Behavior | Communication |

## Marketing

| Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correct | Correct | Omit | Not |  |  |  |
| Institution | National ${ }^{(b)}$ |  | Reached | Domain | Content Area | SubContent Area |
| 75 | 68.9 | 0 | 0 | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Marketing mix |
| 33.3 | 44.7 | 0 | 0 | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Marketing services |
| 45.8 | 45.9 | 0 | O | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Segmenting consumer and organizational markets |
| 35.4 | 52.2 | 0 | 0 | Marketing | Identifying attractive markets | Marketing research and information technology tools |
| 50.3 | 53.4 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Identifying attractive markets | Strategic marketing planning |
| 49.7 | 47.9 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | International Marketing | -- |
| 45.5 | 41.3 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Identifying attractive markets | Consumer and organizational buyer behavior |
| 80.3 | 77.4 | 0 | 1.4 | Marketing | Identifying attractive markets | Scanning the marketing environment |
| 71.3 | 81.6 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Identifying attractive markets | Marketing research and information technology tools |
| $69.2$ | 75.5 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Identifying attractive markets | Strategic marketing planning |
| 37.8 | 54.7 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Segmenting consumer and organizational markets |
| 39.2 | 50.4 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | International Marketing | -- |
| 20.3 | 23.3 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Marketing services |
| 21.7 | 21.5 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Marketing services |
| 48.3 | 54.4 | 0 | 0.7 | Marketing | Serving Selected Markets | Marketing of social causes |

## Quantitative Analysis

| Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correct | Correct | Omit | Not |  |  |  |
| Institution | National ${ }^{(b)}$ |  | Reached | Domain | Content Area | SubContent Area |
| 27.1 | 40.5 | 0.7 | O | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Quantitative Operations and Managemen t <br> Techniques | Linear programming |
| 17.4 | 22.3 | O | O | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Correlation and regression |
| 25 | 28.6 | 0.7 | O | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Statistical concepts in QA |
|  | 37.7 | O | O | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Time series forecasting |
| 31.9 | 27.2 | O | O | Quantitati ve Business Analysis | Probability and <br> Statistics | Counting rules |
| 29.9 | 27.5 | O | O | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Sampling and estimation |
| 27.3 | 35.4 | O | 0.7 | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Conditional/joint probabilities |
| 39.9 | 36.8 | O | 0.7 | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Quantitative Operations and Managemen t <br> Techniques | Linear programming |
| 49.710.5 | 60.2 | O | 0.7 | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and <br> Statistics | Distributions |
|  | 15 | O | 0.7 | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Correlation and regression |
| 53.121.1 | 58.2 | O | 0.7 | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Quantitative <br> Operations and <br> Managemen <br> t <br> Techniques | Statistical <br> process control |
|  | 27.8 | O | 1.4 | Quantitati ve <br> Business Analysis | Probability and Statistics | Hypothesis testing |
| 51.4 | 49.9 | O | 1.4 | Quantitati ve Business Analysis | Probability and <br> Statistics | Measures of central tendency and dispersion |

## Legal Environment

| Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correct | Correct | Omit | Not |  |  |  |
| Institution | National ${ }^{(b)}$ |  | Reached | Domain | Content Area | SubContent Area |
| 56.2 | 59.1 | 0 | 0 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Legal Environment | Administrative law |
| 59.7 | 54.1 | 0 | 0 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Business Relationship $s$ | Business Organizations |
| 88.9 | 82.2 | 0 | 0 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Business Relationship $s$ | Contract and sales law |
| 36.1 | 24.6 | 0.7 | 0 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Regulatory Environment | Antitrust law |
| 41.7 | 32.9 | O | 0 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Regulatory Environment | Consumer protection |
| 40.3 | 44.9 | 0 | 0 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Legal Environment | Constitution and business |
| $32.9$ | 45.9 | 0 | 0.7 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Ethical/Soci al | Social responsibility |
| 49 | 50.1 | 0 | 0.7 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Legal Environment | Courts and legal systems |
| 81.1 | 79.7 | 0 | 0.7 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Legal Environment | Tort Law |
| 22.4 | 20.7 | O | 0.7 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Regulatory Environment | Antitrust law |
| 32.9 | 32.2 | 0 | 0.7 | Legal and Social Environm ent | Regulatory Environment | Employment law |

