Bachelor of Arts in Contemporary Musicianship, Macon

Semester reporting: Spring Semester 2022

Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle

Academic Program Assessment Report Information

Prepared on: 7/18/2022 2:14:56 PM	Prepared by: rebecca.lanning@mga.edu
Email address of person responsible for this	sheree.keith@mga.edu
report:	
In which college or school is this program	Arts and Letters
located?	
Program Type:	Undergraduate
Approximately how many students are in this	43
program at this location?	

Student Learning Outcomes

SLO1

SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning	Students will be able to apply music technology
Outcome for this academic program? Student	to the product of music creation.
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was	Final music technology project
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 1: What target performance level would a	80% of students will earn a score of 80% on the
student need to achieve on the assessment	final project
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 1: Provide details for your target	Past Performance data suggests that this is an
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	appropriate level for success on this metric.
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what	100%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 1: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	As reported in the AY 2021 report, beginning with
changes based on an analysis of the results:	the AY2022 assessment cycle, the new
What changes were implemented based on an	assessment point for this SLO is MUSC 1500,
analysis of the students' performance on this	Introduction to Music Technology. This course is
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	offered annually. Because data was not collected
improvement must be kept and filed in the	in AY 2021, a statistical comparison with the
department or academic unit including but not	previous cohort cannot be made. However, as
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	data show for AY 2022 reporting, this was a very
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	strong student group who excelled in the course.
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	No changes are recommended for next cycle.
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning	Students will be able to utilize appropriate
Outcome for this academic program? Student	technique for their applied music concentration.
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)	
•	
SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was	Instructor skill observations at final performance
used to measure student's ability to	juries
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	000/ 5
SLO 2: What target performance level would a	80% of students scoring 80% at jury
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 2: Provide details for your target	Past Performance data suggests that this is an
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	appropriate level for success on this metric.
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what	80%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 2: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	As reported in the AY 2021 report, beginning with
changes based on an analysis of the results:	the AY2022 assessment cycle, the new
What changes were implemented based on an	assessment point for SLO #2 was moved from
analysis of the students' performance on this	MUSA 2112 and 4112 to MUSA 2111, the 3rd
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	semester of Applied study. This course is offered
improvement must be kept and filed in the	annually. Because data was not collected in AY
department or academic unit including but not	2021, a statistical comparison with the previous
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	cohort cannot be made. However, as data show
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	for AY 2022 reporting, this was a very strong
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	student group who excelled in the course. No
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	changes are recommended for next cycle.
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning	Students will be able to analyze theoretical
Outcome for this academic program? Student	functions utilizing contemporary musical
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	examples.
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was	exam
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 3: What target performance level would a	80% of students should receive 80% on
student need to achieve on the assessment	assessment exam
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 3: Provide details for your target	Past Performance data suggests that this is an
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	appropriate level for success on this metric.
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what	45.45%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 3: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	As reported in the AY 2021 report, beginning with
changes based on an analysis of the results:	the AY2022 assessment cycle, the new
What changes were implemented based on an	assessment point for SLO #3 was moved from
analysis of the students' performance on this	MUSC 2203 to MUSC 2201, the 3rd semester in
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	the 4-semester theory sequence. This course is
improvement must be kept and filed in the	offered annually. Data for MUSC 2201 was
department or academic unit including but not	collected in AY 2021 for use in the AA in Music
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	report. The analysis included in this AY 2022
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	report for the BA in COMU students compares
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	analysis from the AY 2021 AA in Music report
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	with the AY 2022 BA in COMU reporting data.
Major changes to curriculum must go through	The assessment point for SLO#3 is MUSC 2201,
the Academic Affairs process.)	Intermediate Theory I. (This course is also
	required for the students seeking the AA in
	Music. Effective AY22 nearly all of our majors are
	enrolled in both the AA and the BA program. This
	same narrative will be used in SLO 1 of the AA.)
	MUSC 2201 is the 3rd semester of a 4-semester
	sequence and is offered each Fall semester.

There was a marked decline of the success indicator from Fall semester AY 21 (75% success rate) to Fall semester AY 22 (45.45% success rate), a difference of -39.4%. Data, including anecdotal data, suggests a correlation between the increased number of new music industry students and decreased success in the music theory sequence. Data, including anecdotal, suggests that the music industry students enter the program with less musical preparedness than students in the performance track. Since the music industry track is in its 2nd year, and this is an assessment of the sophomore class, this is the first major assessment of the combined music industry and performance track majors in the music theory sequence. (This course is not taught in Spring, and will return in Fall Semester AY 23.) Based on the results of MUSC 2201 (Fall Semester AY 22, course #3 in 4-course sequence), bolstered by results of MUSC 1101 (Fall Semester AY22, course #1 in 4-course sequence) the music faculty proposed a new course (MUSC 1000-Fundamental of Music Theory) that is designed to equip less prepared students with the skills needed for success in the music theory sequence. This course has been adopted into the AY23 MGA catalog. This is the initiative for 2022-23.

SLO₄

SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning	Students will be able to develop a marketing plan
Outcome for this academic program? Student	that reflects their individual career goals.
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was	projectStudents will be able to develop a
used to measure student's ability to	marketing plan that reflects their individual
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	career goals.
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	

Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 4: What target performance level would a	80% of student should receive 80% on project
student need to achieve on the assessment	rubric
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 4: Provide details for your target	Past Performance data suggests that this is an
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	appropriate level for success on this metric.
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what	0
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 4: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	As reported in the AY 2021 assessment cycle,
changes based on an analysis of the results:	beginning with the AY2022 assessment cycle, SLO
What changes were implemented based on an	#4 was rewritten and reassigned to MUSC 4200.
analysis of the students' performance on this	The new SLO as stated above is: Students will be
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	able to develop a marketing plan that reflects
improvement must be kept and filed in the	their individual career goals.
department or academic unit including but not	MUSC 4200 was deferred to AY 2022 and no data
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	for this course is available for this report.
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

Sampling

How many students participated in the	32
assessment of these learning outcomes, in this	
program, for this assessment cycle at this	
location?	

Open Box for Assessment Comments

Required: In this field, please document the	For context: The BA in Contemporary
overall use of assessment results for continuous	Musicianship has just seen the completion of its
improvement (consider the past, present, and	first official senior class and its fourth freshman

future and specifically address these in your narrative).

class. However, the Music Industry track has now been in place for 2 years. As reported in the AY 2021 cycle, it is anticipated that Music Industry students will continue to bring different strengths to the program, excelling in music technology (MUSC 1500, SLO #1) but not at par with performance track students in music theory (MUSC 2201, SLO #3).

For AY2022, to ensure continuous improvement, and to refit the SLO's for the new Music Industry students, changes were made to each of the assessment points and SLO #4 was rewritten. AS detailed above, the majority of current students are double majoring in the AA in Music and the BA in Contemporary Musicianship. Upon review of the raw data, an SLI is recommended for SLO#3, which is inextricably combined with the reporting of the AA in Music for this assessment point. With the changes in the assessment points, an SLI was slated for AY 2022 for the music theory area, with professors creating review guides based on student performance in AY 2022, and supplied to students for the summer of AY 2022 to increase retention going into AY 2023. However, 100% of the music theory faculty have resigned and these review guides were not completed. Increased student success in music theory sequence remains a critical objective for the program. A new course MUSC 1000, Fundamentals of Music, was created and set for implementation for Fall 2022. With the resignation of 100% of the music theory faculty, this course was not scheduled. Student assessment of MUSC 1101 is critical during the first week of Fall 2022. Students will be identified as best fitted for MUSC 1000, which will be scheduled in Spring 2023, preparing students to begin the theory sequence the following Fall.

The scheduling of MUSC 1000 is expected to be the most impactful initiative for student success in the Music Theory area. In addition, an SLI specific to MUSC 2201 will be applied for AY 2023. When drilling into the data, it is clear that students struggle the most with the identification of Nonharmonic Tones. At the beginning of each class, the students will complete a short analysis that includes nonharmonic tones, with the

	difficulty increasing as the students move toward the final assessment. This is the initiative for
	2022-23?
	2022 201
Optional Open Text Box For Assessment	
Comments:	
If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this	The music program continued to face-to-face
assessment cycle, please provide specific details	instruction utilizing among the most aggressive
below. (Also submit any COVID-19	COVID-19 protocols, including enhanced social
correspondence from your accrediting body to	distancing, masking, and reduced time in space.
assessment@mga.edu when you submit this	The faculty were able to achieve their
form with your Department name and program	educational goals with modifications in place.
in the subject line.)	
	In AY2021, it was anticipated that the program
	will have smaller freshman classes for the next
	several years, as the music programs in K-12 were
	significantly impacted by COVID-19. This
	continues in specific pockets across the program,
	particularly in the voice and band areas which were devastated at the K-12 level during the
	pandemic. Many choruses in particular were not
	permitted to sing until Spring 2022. This has
	severely impacted enrollment in school programs
	6-12 and the repair will be a slow "trickle up." As
	middle school programs improve, they will feed
	struggling high school programs, which will feed
	university music programs. The recruitment
	competition is enhanced, with schools with larger
	scholarship budgets buying up even the
	moderately prepared students to fill their
	programs. It is expected that this has been an
	effect on our enrollment, and that it will continue

for the next several cycles.